The many meanings of *rasa*: Indonesian perception verb used for 'taste' and 'touch' which also means 'to think' and 'to feel'

Poppy Siahaan¹, Gede Primahadi Wijaya Rajeg²

¹University of Cologne, psiahaan@uni-koeln.de ²Udayana University, primahadi wijaya@unud.ac.id

Keywords: perception verb, polysemy, semantic extensions, collostructional analysis

Perception verbs can have intrafield or transfield extensions (Viberg 1983; San Roque et al. 2018). The former refers to the extension within the domain of perception, whereas the latter refers to the extension to the domain outside of perception, e.g. cognition. The paper deals with the Indonesian perception verb used for taste and touch which also has semantic extensions to the domains of thinking and feeling. The basic meaning of the root *rasa* is 'taste' (Stevens & Schmidgall-Tellings 2008; KBBI 2016). *Rasa* can also refer to the perception of touch in a broad sense (Winter 2019: 14–15). Additionally, the Indonesian perception verb *rasa* 'to taste' or 'to touch' can have semantic extensions to the domain of cognition 'to feel' (1) and 'to think' (2); not *lihat* 'to see' as in English (Sweetser 1990) or *dengar* 'to hear' as in Australian languages (Evans & Wilkins 2000).

1	Тарі	Izzah	rasa	selamat	dan	damai
	but	Izzah	rasa	safe	and	peace
	dengan	rangkulan	erat	Haris.		
	with	embrace	tight	Haris.		

'But Izzah feels safe and peaceful in Haris's tight embrace.'

2	Karena	jujur	saja	saya	rasa	yang
	because	honest	only	1sg	rasa	REL
	nama=nya	Badan	Anggaran	ada	otak-otak=nya.	
	name=3sg	agency	budget	EXIST	RED-brain=3sG	

'Because to be honest, I think that there are stooges (lit. brains) in the Budget Committee.'

The roughly synonymous meanings of 'to feel' and 'to think' conveyed in the Indonesian sensory perception verb *rasa* 'to taste' or 'to touch' is grounded on the Indonesian cultural model which sees *rasa* as the cognitive faculty used to describe the "intuitive aspects of reality" (Stange 1984: 114). Utilizing the Indonesian corpus from Leipzig Corpora Collection (Goldhahn et al. 2012), we analyze the distribution of the semantic domains of different forms of verbs based on *rasa*: COGNITION (including the sub-domains 'to feel' and 'to think') and PERCEPTION (including the sub-domains 'to taste' and 'to touch'). The paper will discuss the results of multiple distinctive collexeme analysis (Stefanowitsch & Gries 2003; Gries & Stefanowitsch 2004; Gries & David 2007) that reveal certain semantic (sub-)domains which can be strongly attracted to certain verb forms. Overall, the verb forms are significantly used in their semantic extension to COGNITION compared to PERCEPTION. While there is no significant difference found between the use of the verb forms for 'to feel' and 'to think' (sub-domains of COGNITION), the distribution of the verb forms in the PERCEPTION domain is significantly predominant for the 'touch' reading than for 'taste'.

The paper aims to contribute empirically to the research on polysemy in general and the ongoing research on semantic extensions of perception verbs in particular.

References

Evans, Nicholas & David Wilkins. 2000. In the mind's ear: The semantic extensions of perception verbs in Australian languages. *Language*. 546–592.

Goldhahn, Dirk, Thomas Eckart & Uwe Quasthoff. 2012. Building large monolingual dictionaries at the Leipzig Corpora Collection: From 100 to 200 languages. In *Proceedings of the 8th Language Resources and Evaluation Conference (LREC)* 2012, 759–765.

Gries, Stefan T. & Caroline V. David. 2007. This is kind of/sort of interesting: variation in hedging in English. *Towards multimedia in corpus linguistics*.

Gries, Stefan T. & Anatol Stefanowitsch. 2004. Extending collostructional analysis: A corpus-based perspective on 'alternations'. *International journal of corpus linguistics* 9(1). 97–129.

KBBI. 2016. Kamus Besar Bahasa Indonesia (Comprehensive Indonesian Dictionary). https://kbbi.kemdikbud.go.id. (15 January, 2023.)

- San Roque, Lila, Kobin H. Kendrick, Elisabeth Norcliffe & Asifa Majid. 2018. Universal meaning extensions of perception verbs are grounded in interaction. *Cognitive linguistics* 29(3). 371–406.
- Stange, Paul. 1984. The logic of rasa in Java. Indonesia (38). 113-134.
- Stefanowitsch, Anatol & Stefan T. Gries. 2003. Collostructions: Investigating the interaction of words and constructions. *International journal of corpus linguistics* 8(2). 209–243.
- Stevens, Alan M. & A. E. Schmidgall-Tellings. 2008. Kamus Lengkap Indonesia-Inggris [A Comprehensive Indonesian-English Dictionary]. *Bandung: PT Mizan Pustaka*.
- Sweetser, Eve. 1990. From etymology to pragmatics: Metaphorical and cultural aspects of semantic structure (54). Cambridge University Press.
- Viberg, Åke. 1983. The Verbs of perception: a typological study in Explanations for Language Universals. *Linguistics* 21(263). 123–162.
- Winter, Bodo. 2019. Sensory linguistics. *Language, perception and metaphor. Amsterdam & Philadelphia (Benjamins)*.