Is he pushing the cart to the tiger cage or is he going to the tiger cage with the cart?

The multimodal expression of caused motion events in French and Dutch as L1 and L2

Christina Piot
University of Liège & University of Lille

Keywords: caused motion; co-speech gesture; thinking for speaking; multimodality

Space is fundamental to human cognition and is part of our daily life. Although it is supposed to be a universal cognitive domain, crosslinguistic research has shown that there is a lot of diversity in the way it is expressed. Talmy (1985, 2000) distinguished between verb-framed languages (V-languages), i.e., languages in which path is encoded in the verb and manner in a satellite (e.g., Romance languages) and satellite-framed languages (S-languages), i.e., languages in which manner is encoded in the verb and path in a satellite (e.g., Germanic languages). These two types of languages also show similar patterns when it comes to the realization of caused motion events. In V-languages, there are two typical ways to express caused motion events: using a transitive verb as in (1) or a complex causative construction (faire 'make' + infinitive) as in (2) (Hendriks, Hickmann & Demagny 2008). In S-languages, the verb expresses cause and/or manner and path is expressed in satellites as in (3).

- (1) Il a monté la balle dans sa chambre. [He took the ball up to his room]
- (2) Il a fait rouler la balle le long du mur. [He rolled the ball along the wall]
- (3) Zij schopte de bal in het doel. [She kicked the ball into the goal]

As we acquire our first language, we learn a specific way of thinking-for-speaking (TFS) (Slobin 1991). Our first language has an influence on how we describe the world both in speech and gesture (i.a., Kita & Özyürek 2003; Stam 2006; McNeill & Duncan 2000; Gullberg 2009; Negueruela et al. 2004; Kellerman & van Hoof 2003). When we learn a second language, we thus need to learn the multimodal TFS-pattern of our target language. The current study aims to (further) describe the multimodal TFS-pattern of French and Dutch by analyzing how they realize caused motion events and see how French-speaking learners of Dutch deal with these differences.

We conducted an elicitation experiment in which participants recounted scenes from a *Tweety and Sylvester* cartoon (1957) which contain 69 caused motion events. Fifteen L1 French speakers, twelve L1 Dutch speakers, and fifteen CLIL¹ French-speaking learners of Dutch with a pre-intermediate level completed the task. The speech analysis consists of looking at which semantic components (manner, path, cause) are encoded in the verb and satellites and how they are combined following Hendriks, Hickmann & Demagny (2008). Gestures are analyzed regarding the semantic components of motion they convey (*path, manner & cause; path & cause; manner & cause; ground*).

The data are currently being analyzed. Preliminary results already show some tendencies. There seems to be more variation in French than in Dutch in terms of speech combinations, which corresponds to Hendriks, Hickmann & Demagny (2008)'s findings on French and English. L1DS tend to conflate manner and cause in the verb and combine it with a path-satellite and a gesture conveying manner, cause, and path as in Figure 1. Surprisingly, L1FS sometimes encode only manner and cause in speech. These utterances are often accompanied by gestures conveying cause, manner, and path. Still, L1DS tend to produce these gestures more often than L1FS. L2 learners also tend to convey these three semantic components in their gestures. Finally, they sometimes use these gestures as compensation gestures: they describe the event as a transfer event in speech and as a caused motion event in gesture as in Figure 2 where the participant used the neutral verb *geven* [give] instead of *gooien* [throw] and show in their gesture that he actually throws Sylvester.

-

¹ Content and Language Integrated Learning

Figure 1: Gesture co-occurring with "dan zit iemand biefstukken naar de tijgers te gooien" [Then someone is throwing steaks to the tigers] (DU10, ME36)



Figure 2: Gesture co-occurring with "en <> hij geeft ook Grosminet aan de dieren" [and <> he also gives Sylvester to the animals] (CLIL9, ME37)



References

Gullberg, Marianne. 2009. Gestures and the development of semantic representations in first and second language acquisition. *Acquisition et interaction en langue étrangère* (Aile... Lia 1). 117–139. https://doi.org/10.4000/aile.4514.

Hendriks, Henriette, Maya Hickmann & Annie-Claude Demagny. 2008. How adult English learners of French express caused motion: A comparison with English and French natives. *Acquisition et interaction en langue étrangère* (27). 15–41. https://doi.org/10.4000/aile.3973.

Kellerman, Eric & Anne-Marie van Hoof. 2003. Manual accents. *IRAL - International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching* 41(3). https://doi.org/10.1515/iral.2003.011.

Kendon, Adam. 2004. Gesture: visible action as utterance. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Kita, Sotaro & Asli Özyürek. 2003. What does cross-linguistic variation in semantic coordination of speech and gesture reveal?: Evidence for an interface representation of spatial thinking and speaking. *Journal of Memory and Language* 48(1). 16–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-596X(02)00505-3.

McNeill, David & Susan D. Duncan. 2000. Growth points in thinking-for-speaking. In David McNeill (ed.), Language and Gesture, 141–161. 1st edn. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511620850.010.

Negueruela, Eduardo, James P. Lantolf, Stefanie Rehn Jordan & Jaime Gelabert. 2004. The "private function" of gesture in second language speaking activity: a study of motion verbs and gesturing in English and Spanish. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics* 14(1). 113–147. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1473-4192.2004.00056.x.

Slobin, Dan Isaac. 1991. Learning to think for speaking: Native language, cognition, and rhetorical style. *Pragmatics* 1(1). 7–26.

Stam, Gale. 2006. Thinking for speaking about motion: L1 and L2 speech and gesture. *IRAL - International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching* 44(2). https://doi.org/10.1515/IRAL.2006.006.

Talmy, Leonard. 1985. Lexicalization patterns: Semantic structure in lexical forms. In *Language typology* and syntactic description (Grammatical Categories and the Lexicon), vol. 3, 57–149. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Talmy, Leonard. 2000. *Toward a cognitive semantics* (Language, Speech, and Communication). Vol. 2. Cambridge/ Massachusetts: MIT Press.

1957. Tweet Zoo. Merrie Melodies. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SqhwmHrdf74.